

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS  
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

## Analysis of statistics 2014

**January 2015**

## Table of Contents

Overview of the Court’s statistics in 2014 .....	4
A. Number of new applications .....	4
B. Applications disposed of judicially and pending applications .....	4
C. Processing of applications .....	4
1. Pre-allocation.....	4
2. Inadmissibility or strike out decisions .....	4
3. Communicated applications .....	5
4. Judgments.....	5
5. Requests for interim measures (Rule 39 of the Rules of Court).....	5
D. Priority policy .....	5
General information .....	6
Number of applications allocated to a judicial formation .....	7
Processing of applications .....	9
Country-specific information .....	14
Albania .....	14
Andorra .....	15
Armenia .....	16
Austria.....	17
Azerbaijan .....	18
Belgium .....	19
Bosnia and Herzegovina.....	20
Bulgaria .....	21
Croatia.....	22
Cyprus .....	23
Czech Republic.....	24
Denmark .....	25
Estonia .....	26
Finland .....	27
France .....	28
Georgia.....	29
Germany .....	30
Greece.....	31
Hungary.....	32
Iceland.....	33
Ireland.....	34
Italy .....	35
Latvia.....	36
Liechtenstein.....	37
Lithuania .....	38
Luxembourg .....	39
Malta.....	40

Republic of Moldova.....	41
Monaco .....	42
Montenegro .....	43
Netherlands .....	44
Norway.....	45
Poland .....	46
Portugal.....	47
Romania .....	48
Russian Federation .....	49
San Marino.....	50
Serbia .....	51
Slovakia .....	52
Slovenia.....	53
Spain .....	54
Sweden .....	55
Switzerland .....	56
“The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia” .....	57
Turkey .....	58
Ukraine.....	59
United Kingdom .....	60

## Overview of the Court's statistics in 2014

The Court's statistics for 2014 disclose some significant differences when compared with previous years and notably a lower number of incoming cases allocated to a judicial formation. This is partly the result of a new approach to Rule 47 of the Rules of Court, which determines what applicants are required to do for their application to be allocated for judicial decision. However, there is also an indication of a falling off in demand with a lower number of cases coming into the system. This has helped the Court to reduce the stock of pending cases since the number of applications disposed of exceeds the volume of incoming cases.

As the backlog of inadmissible cases is progressively eliminated so the spectacular increases of recent years are no longer achievable. As the Court focuses on other categories of cases, productivity will tend to remain more stable, with fluctuations depending on when large groups of cases are processed and adjudicated.

### A. Number of new applications

In 2014 56,250 applications were allocated to a judicial formation, an overall decrease of 15% compared with 2013 (65,800). 43,450 of these were identified as Single-Judge cases likely to be declared inadmissible (a decrease of 16% in relation to 2013). 12,800 were identified as probable Chamber or Committee<sup>1</sup> cases (a decrease of 11%). It is the first time since 2003 that the number of allocated cases has decreased.

### B. Applications disposed of judicially and pending applications

86,063 applications were disposed of judicially, a decrease of 8% in relation to 2013 (93,401). The number of cases disposed of therefore exceeded those allocated by approximately 29,800 (i.e. a monthly surplus of almost 2,500). As a result, the stock of allocated applications pending before the Court decreased over the year, by 30% from 99,900 to 69,900.

### C. Processing of applications

#### 1. Pre-allocation

As at 31 December 2014 the number of applications pending at this pre-judicial stage stood at 19,050, a decrease of 13% in relation to the same date the previous year (21,950). The number of applications disposed of administratively in 2014 was 25,100, an increase of 84%. Half of these files were disposed of under Rule 47.

#### 2. Inadmissibility or strike out decisions

In 2014 83,675 applications were declared inadmissible or struck out of the list of cases by a Single Judge, a Committee or a Chamber, a 7% decrease compared with 2013 (89,740). The Single-Judge formation decided 78,660 cases in 2014, a decrease of 2% compared with 2013 (80,583). The number of applications struck out, in a decision or a judgment, following a friendly settlement or a unilateral declaration, increased by 16% in 2014 (2,198 compared to 1,890 in 2013). Friendly settlements (1,696) increased by 15% (1,481 in 2013) and unilateral declarations by 23% (502 compared to 409 in 2013).

---

<sup>1</sup> Committee competence provided for in Article 28 § 1 b) of the Convention.

### **3. Communicated applications**

Where an application is not on its face inadmissible, it is communicated to the respondent Government. In 2014 7,897 applications were communicated (roughly equivalent to 2013 when 7,931 applications were communicated).

### **4. Judgments**

Judgments were delivered in respect of 2,388 applications (compared with 3,661 in 2013 – a decrease of 35%). A significant proportion of these applications were joined with the result that the number of judgments actually delivered was 891, a decrease of 3%. 209 judgments (in respect of 1,410 applications) were adopted by a three-judge Committee formation. These judgments accounted for 59% of the applications decided by a judgment (75% in 2013).

### **5. Requests for interim measures (Rule 39 of the Rules of Court)**

The total number of decisions on interim measures (1,929) increased by 20% compared with 2013 (1,608). The Court granted requests for interim measures in 216 cases (an increase of 100% compared with 108 in 2013) and dismissed them in 783 cases (4% less than the 818 in 2013). The remainder fell outside the scope of Rule 39 of the Rules of Court. The increase in the number of requests granted can be explained by 110 cases linked to the conflict in Ukraine.

## **D. Priority policy <sup>2</sup>**

The essence of this policy is to concentrate more resources on the most important cases, namely the cases falling within the top three categories (“priority applications”). At 31 December 2014 there were 7,386 applications in these categories.

The number of priority applications dealt with at different stages of the procedure in 2014 increased by 32% compared to 2013. 34% more priority applications were communicated to the respondent Governments in comparison with 2013. The number of priority applications declared inadmissible or struck out of the list of cases by a Chamber or Committee increased by 36%. The number of priority applications struck out following a friendly settlement or a unilateral declaration rose from 247 in 2013 to 326 in 2014. The number of priority applications giving rise to a judgment increased by 21% in 2014.

---

<sup>2</sup> An explanation of the Court’s priority policy can be found on the Court’s internet site [http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Priority\\_policy\\_ENG.pdf](http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Priority_policy_ENG.pdf)

## General information

Table 1 General statistical information

<b>1. Applications allocated to a judicial formation</b> [round figures (50)]	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>+/-</b>
Applications allocated	<b>56250</b>	65800	-15%

<b>2. Interim procedural events</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>+/-</b>
Applications communicated to respondent Government	<b>7897</b>	7931	0%

<b>3. Applications decided</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>+/-</b>
By decision or judgment	<b>86063</b>	93401	-8%
- by judgment delivered	<b>2388</b>	3661	-35%
- by decision (inadmissible or struck out)	<b>83675</b>	89740	-7%

<b>4. Pending applications</b> [round figures (50)]	<b>31/12/2014</b>	<b>1/1/2014</b>	<b>+/-</b>
Applications pending before a judicial formation	<b>69900</b>	99900	-30%
- Chamber (7 judges)	<b>29650</b>	39000	-24%
- Committee (3 judges)	<b>32050</b>	34400	-7%
- Single-Judge formation	<b>8200</b>	26500	-69%

<b>5. Pre-judicial applications</b> [round figures (50)]	<b>31/12/2014</b>	<b>1/1/2014</b>	<b>+/-</b>
Applications at a pre-judicial stage	<b>19050</b>	21950	-13%
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>+/-</b>
Applications disposed of administratively	<b>25100</b>	13650	84%

### Number of applications allocated to a judicial formation<sup>3</sup>

Chart 1 Applications allocated to a judicial formation per year






Chart 2 Applications pending before a judicial formation




<sup>3</sup> Figures represent the total number of applications, including joined applications. The document generally gives round figures. The upsurge in applications allocated in 2002 was due to a change in working methods, transferring much of the screening of inadmissible applications from the administrative, pre-judicial phase to the judicial stage.

**Chart 3 High case-count States (more than 1,700 applications pending before a judicial formation)**



**Total number of pending applications: 69,900**

**Chart 4 The Court’s total caseload by stage of proceedings and judicial formation<sup>4</sup>**




**Total applications 69,900**

<sup>4</sup> The “Pending Government action” category was created to monitor applications following a judgment applying the pilot procedure.




**Chart 5 The Court’s total caseload by priority category**




**Processing of applications**

**Chart 6 Major procedural steps in processing of applications**



**Chart 7 Number of judgments since 2000**



**Chart 8 Applications in categories I, II and III processed from 2011 to 2014**




Table 2 Applications allocated per Contracting State and population

State	Applications allocated to a judicial formation				Population (1000)				Allocated/population (10000)			
	2011	2012	2013	2014	1.1.2011	1.1.2012	1.1.2013	1.1.2014	2011	2012	2013	2014
Albania	84	111	106	83	2832	3195	2899	2896	0,30	0,35	0,37	0,29
Andorra	8	6	2	5	85	85	76	76	0,94	0,71	0,26	0,66
Armenia	173	238	196	154	3263	3274	3027	3017	0,53	0,73	0,65	0,51
Austria	387	377	437	315	8404	8443	8452	8508	0,46	0,45	0,52	0,37
Azerbaijan	501	342	324	403	9111	9235	9356	9477	0,55	0,37	0,35	0,43
Belgium	251	268	270	159	11001	11041	11162	11204	0,23	0,24	0,24	0,14
Bosnia and Herzegovina	508	430	870	667	3843	3829	3829	3831	1,32	1,12	2,27	1,74
Bulgaria	1200	1274	1209	928	7369	7327	7285	7246	1,63	1,74	1,66	1,28
Croatia	1186	1905	1840	1095	4412	4398	4262	4247	2,69	4,33	4,32	2,58
Cyprus	69	78	144	55	840	862	866	858	0,82	0,90	1,66	0,64
Czech Republic	522	547	481	369	10487	10505	10516	10512	0,50	0,52	0,46	0,35
Denmark	111	103	84	65	5561	5581	5603	5627	0,20	0,18	0,15	0,12
Estonia	346	301	237	187	1340	1340	1320	1316	2,58	2,25	1,80	1,42
Finland	431	314	315	186	5375	5401	5427	5451	0,80	0,58	0,58	0,34
France	1594	1344	1536	1142	65048	65398	65579	65857	0,25	0,21	0,23	0,17
Georgia	395	367	157	102	4469	4498	4498	4491	0,88	0,82	0,35	0,23
Germany	1757	1492	1525	1027	81752	81844	80524	80780	0,21	0,18	0,19	0,13
Greece	668	722	728	585	11310	11291	11063	10993	0,59	0,64	0,66	0,53
Hungary	654	735	991	2402	9986	9958	9909	9879	0,65	0,74	1,00	2,43
Iceland	10	10	9	28	318	320	322	326	0,31	0,31	0,28	0,86
Ireland	54	55	62	33	4570	4583	4591	4604	0,12	0,12	0,14	0,07
Italy	4708	3246	3180	5476	60626	60821	59685	60783	0,78	0,53	0,53	0,90
Latvia	291	286	322	298	2075	2042	2024	2001	1,40	1,40	1,59	1,49
Liechtenstein	9	16	7	12	36	36	37	37	2,50	4,44	1,89	3,24
Lithuania	305	373	428	387	3053	3008	2972	2943	1,00	1,24	1,44	1,31
Luxembourg	24	31	38	23	512	525	537	550	0,47	0,59	0,71	0,42
Malta	22	26	50	39	415	416	421	425	0,53	0,63	1,19	0,92
Republic of Moldova	1017	934	1354	1105	3560	3560	3559	3558	2,86	2,62	3,80	3,11
Monaco	8	5	10	4	33	36	36	36	2,42	1,39	2,78	1,11
Montenegro	314	180	289	158	618	618	623	624	5,08	2,91	4,64	2,53
Netherlands	800	675	778	674	16656	16730	16780	16829	0,48	0,40	0,46	0,40
Norway	155	101	148	141	4920	4986	5051	5109	0,32	0,20	0,29	0,28
Poland	5022	4071	3968	2761	38530	38538	38533	38496	1,30	1,06	1,03	0,72
Portugal	165	217	267	252	10572	10542	10487	10427	0,16	0,21	0,25	0,24
Romania	5124	6768	5413	4427	21414	21356	20020	19943	2,39	3,17	2,70	2,22
Russia	12454	10746	12328	8952	142857	143056	143507	143507	0,87	0,75	0,86	0,62
San Marino	0	1	4	5	32	32	34	34	0,00	0,31	1,18	1,47
Serbia	3726	4894	5058	2787	7276	7241	7182	7147	5,12	6,76	7,04	3,90
Slovak Republic	553	531	464	324	5392	5404	5411	5416	1,03	0,98	0,86	0,60
Slovenia	424	422	496	352	2050	2055	2059	2061	2,07	2,05	2,41	1,71
Spain	802	694	781	644	46153	46196	46728	46508	0,17	0,15	0,17	0,14
Sweden	1942	546	362	272	9416	9483	9556	9645	2,06	0,58	0,38	0,28
Switzerland	358	326	442	303	7870	7955	8039	8137	0,45	0,41	0,55	0,37
"The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"	352	350	535	382	2057	2060	2062	2066	1,71	1,70	2,59	1,85
Turkey	8651	8986	3505	1589	73723	74724	75627	76668	1,17	1,20	0,46	0,21
Ukraine	4614	7791	13132	14198	45598	45453	45373	45246	1,01	1,71	2,89	3,14
United Kingdom	1542	1702	908	720	62499	62990	63905	64308	0,25	0,27	0,14	0,11
<b>Total</b>	<b>64291</b>	<b>64937</b>	<b>65790</b>	<b>56275</b>	<b>819319</b>	<b>822271</b>	<b>820814</b>	<b>823700</b>	<b>0,78</b>	<b>0,79</b>	<b>0,80</b>	<b>0,68</b>

SOURCES 2013 and 2014: Internet sites of the Eurostat service ("Population and social conditions") or from the United Nations Statistics Division.

The Council of Europe member States had a combined population of about 824 million inhabitants on 1 January 2014. The average number of applications allocated per 10,000 inhabitants was 0.68 in 2014.

**Table 3 The Court's total caseload by stage of proceedings and judicial formation**

State	Single Judge or Committee (cat. VI - VII)	Chamber or Committee - awaiting first examination (cat. I - V)	Communicated	Pending Government action	Admissible	TOTAL
Albania	8	181	173		4	366
Andorra	2		2			4
Armenia	184	774	78		4	1040
Austria	27	57	42		2	128
Azerbaijan	6	1160	233		5	1404
Belgium	23	279	54		11	367
Bosnia and Herzegovina	271	71	13	367	6	728
Bulgaria	209	626	122		12	969
Croatia	87	286	168		8	549
Cyprus	5	33	30		1	69
Czech Republic	47	135	27		12	221
Denmark	1	13	11		1	26
Estonia	38	14	12		3	67
Finland	63	14	22		2	101
France	180	168	122		20	490
Georgia	12	2117	145		2	2276
Germany	192	97	40		6	335
Greece	164	667	354		17	1202
Hungary	530	1078	203		19	1830
Iceland	9	9	3		1	22
Ireland	3					3
Italy	483	7198	2347	37	22	10087
Latvia	154	99	68		11	332
Liechtenstein	5	2	3			10
Lithuania	26	162	79		8	275
Luxembourg	4	1	5			10
Malta	3	40	20		2	65
Republic of Moldova	165	724	251	6	13	1159
Monaco		1	1			2
Montenegro	368	92	38		1	499
Netherlands	104	167	56		2	329
Norway	41	20	6		2	69
Poland	373	1139	268		17	1797
Portugal	30	171	73		8	282
Romania	306	997	1692	333	57	3385
Russia	1535	5500	2334	536	85	9990
San Marino	2	6	1			9
Serbia	903	652	508	445	15	2523
Slovakia	22	113	48		15	198
Slovenia	72	162	57	1406	3	1700
Spain	139	20	46		4	209
Sweden	13	4	22		7	46
Switzerland	46	55	38		8	147
"The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"	25	135	75		3	238
Turkey	442	7105	1856		85	9488
Ukraine	930	10793	1894		18	13635
United Kingdom	65	71	1096		11	1243
<b>ALL STATES</b>	<b>8317</b>	<b>43208</b>	<b>14736</b>	<b>3130</b>	<b>533</b>	<b>69924</b>


Table 4 Unilateral declarations and friendly settlements from 2012 to 2014

State	Unilateral declaration			Friendly settlement		
	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014
Albania	2			1	1	
Andorra						
Armenia	2					
Austria				1	1	8
Azerbaijan		3	11	1	11	23
Belgium					3	1
Bosnia and Herzegovina	3		3	43	176	0
Bulgaria	28	4	3	85	19	9
Croatia	6	3	3	18	31	36
Cyprus						
Czech Republic	7	20	1	4	12	1
Denmark				6	1	
Estonia				0	1	
Finland	4	1	0	8	3	1
France	4	2	9	2	2	4
Georgia	1	3	14	4	11	15
Germany	1	2	3	2	3	2
Greece				3	55	54
Hungary	2	3	1	53	73	31
Iceland						
Ireland			1	1	3	0
Italy		44	27	117	4	9
Latvia	1		0	1		1
Liechtenstein						
Lithuania		1				
Luxembourg						
Malta	2					
Republic of Moldova	5	21	6	13	8	9
Monaco				1		
Montenegro				1	19	10
Netherlands		1	1	1	2	4
Norway						
Poland	40	41	26	111	93	83
Portugal	1	5	6	10	4	39
Romania	61	38	64	35	29	51
Russia	100	102	161	3	39	78
San Marino				1		
Serbia	3	9	52	415	679	1016
Slovakia	3	4	3	9	23	9
Slovenia			1	1		1
Spain					1	
Sweden				1		
Switzerland						
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia'	1	48	38	67	55	69
Turkey	14	27	2	99	86	84
Ukraine	313	23	63	178	28	36
United Kingdom	2	4	3	7	5	12
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>606</b>	<b>409</b>	<b>502</b>	<b>1303</b>	<b>1481</b>	<b>1696</b>


## Country-specific information

### Albania

**Chart 9** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 10** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Andorra

**Chart 11** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 12** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Armenia

**Chart 13** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 14** Major procedural steps in processing applications






## Austria

**Chart 15** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 16** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Azerbaijan

**Chart 17** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 18** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Belgium

**Chart 19** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 20** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Bosnia and Herzegovina

**Chart 21** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 22** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Bulgaria

**Chart 23** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 24** Major procedural steps in processing applications




Croatia

Chart 25 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 26 Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Cyprus

**Chart 27** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 28** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Czech Republic

**Chart 29** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 30** Major procedural steps in processing applications






## Denmark

**Chart 31** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 32** Major procedural steps in processing applications




**Estonia**

**Chart 33 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body**




**Graph 34 Major procedural steps in processing applications**




## Finland

**Chart 35** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 36** Major procedural steps in processing applications




France

Chart 37 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 38 Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Georgia

**Chart 39 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body**




**Graph 40 Major procedural steps in processing applications**




## Germany

**Chart 41** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 42** Major procedural steps in processing applications




Greece

Chart 43 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 44 Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Hungary

**Chart 45** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 46** Major procedural steps in processing applications






## Iceland

**Chart 47** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 48** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Ireland

**Chart 49** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 50** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Italy

**Chart 51 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body**




**Graph 52 Major procedural steps in processing applications**




**Latvia**

**Chart 53 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body**




**Graph 54 Major procedural steps in processing applications**




## Liechtenstein

**Chart 55** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 56** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Lithuania

**Chart 57** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 58** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Luxembourg

**Chart 59** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 60** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Malta

**Chart 61 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body**




**Graph 62 Major procedural steps in processing applications**






## Republic of Moldova

**Chart 63** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 64** Major procedural steps in processing applications




**Monaco**

**Chart 65 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body**




**Graph 66 Major procedural steps in processing applications**




## Montenegro

**Chart 67** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 68** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Netherlands

**Chart 69** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 70** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Norway

**Chart 71** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 72** Major procedural steps in processing applications




**Poland**

**Chart 73 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body**




**Graph 74 Major procedural steps in processing applications**




## Portugal

**Chart 75 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body**




**Graph 76 Major procedural steps in processing applications**




## Romania

**Chart 77 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body**




**Graph 78 Major procedural steps in processing applications**






## Russian Federation

**Chart 79** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 80** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## San Marino

**Chart 81** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 82** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Serbia

**Chart 83** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 84** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Slovakia

**Chart 85** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 86** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Slovenia

**Chart 87 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body**




**Graph 88 Major procedural steps in processing applications**




## Spain

**Chart 89** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 90** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Sweden

**Chart 91** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 92** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Switzerland

**Chart 93** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 94** Major procedural steps in processing applications






## “The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia”

**Chart 95** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 96** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Turkey

**Chart 97** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 98** Major procedural steps in processing applications




## Ukraine

**Chart 99** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




**Graph 100** Major procedural steps in processing applications



## United Kingdom

**Chart 101** The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body



**Graph 102** Major procedural steps in processing applications

